

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,918	- (	04/15/2004	Franz Loffler	(MM) 54 401	(MM) 54 401 7344	
	7590	12/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
M. Robert K			CHOI, STEPHEN			
Albuquerque, NM 87111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3724	-	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7) 4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,918	LOFFLER, FRANZ	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Property of the Examiner  Pr	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s) )	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/824,918

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The numbering of claims is not proper. Misnumbered claims 25-28 been renumbered to 26-29 for this office action only.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Group I. Claims 4, 6, and 17 are, drawn to a wheel axle and travel rollers, classified in class 280, subclass 8.
  - Group II. Claims 12-14 are, drawn to a clamping device, classified in class 211, subclass 8.
  - Group III. Claims 18-21 and 23 are, drawn to a shaft, a handle, a support, or a support roller, classified in class 280, subclass 29.
  - Group IV. Claims 24-26 are, drawn to a saw guard, classified in class 269, subclass 285.
  - Group V. Claim 27 is, drawn to guide elements, classified in class 83, subclass 523.
  - Group VI. Claim 29 is, drawn to a hand-guided power saw, classified in class 83, subclass 574.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Any of claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, 15-16, 22, and 28 that are readable on the elected species will be examined with the elected invention.

Claim 1 links inventions of groups I-VI. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 1. Upon the

Art Unit: 3724

allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Inventions of groups I-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the device of group I does not require the clamping device set forth in group II, and conversely, the device of group II does not require the wheel axle and travel rollers set forth in group I, the device of group III does not require the saw guard set forth in group IV, and conversely, the device of group IV does not require the shaft, the handle, the support, or the support roller set forth in group III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the device of group I will need to be searched in class 280, subclass 8, along with a unique text search.

Group II would not be searched as above, but would instead be searched in class 211,

Application/Control Number: 10/824,918 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

subclass 8 accompanied by a different text search. Groups III-VI also would have unique searches.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - The embodiment shown on Figure 1.

Species B - The embodiment shown on Figure 7.

Species C - The embodiment described in claim 10.

Species D - The embodiment described in claim 11.

Species E - The embodiment shown on Figure 8a.

Species F - The embodiment shown on Figure 8b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If any of Species E-F is elected, applicant is required to further elect one of Species A-D. Currently, some claims may be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/824,918

Art Unit: 3724

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,918 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

6 December 2005

STÉPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER